



## Identifying and Breaking Vicious Cycles

**David Peter Stroh**

Perhaps the most prevalent and accessible form of systems thinking for people new to the concept is the vicious cycle. The spread of an epidemic is accelerated by the number of people exposed, which in turn increases the likelihood that the epidemic will spread even further. Downsizing today is likely to reduce our ability to generate revenue (not just our costs), which in turn decreases profits and increases pressure to downsize yet again. Acts of violence perpetrated by one party in a war stimulate acts of revenge by the other party, which in turn lead to violent retaliation by the first party and an ongoing escalation by both sides.


Although people are easily caught in vicious cycles, they often do not see these spirals or, once having seen them, know how to escape from the dynamic. The goals of this piece are to:

- Describe an easy way to identify vicious cycles that people are caught in
- Explain a three-step process to transform this dynamic into an engine of success instead of failure
- Expand our thinking beyond vicious cycles to enrich our understanding of chronic problems and identify specific interventions for more complex systems

One easy way to identify vicious cycles we are caught in is called "doom looping", developed originally by my colleague Jennifer Kemeny. Doom looping has four steps as follows:

1. Identify a problem symptom that concerns you because it seems to get worse and worse over time. For example, your symptom might be *morale problems*.
2. Identify 3 immediate and independent causes of the problem symptom. For example, 3 immediate causes of morale problems

- might be a *difficult manager*, *lack of career opportunities*, and *high job pressures and stress*.
3. Clarify 3 immediate and independent consequences of the growing problem symptom. For example, 3 immediate consequences of morale problems are *turnover*, *quality problem*, and *performance issues*.
  4. Finally, show how at least one of the consequences exacerbates at least one of the causes. The connection might be direct or indirect. For example, the consequence of *high turnover* increases *workload for key personnel*, which in turn increases *job pressures and stress*, thereby increasing *morale problems* and increasing *turnover* even further (see diagram on the next page). This dynamic is a vicious cycle.



Once a vicious cycle has been identified, you can look for where to break the cycle and ideally transform it into a positive engine of growth. This involves four steps:

1. Identify at least one link in the vicious cycle that is governed by people's beliefs or assumptions instead of hard-wired into the system. This is a link that can be broken.

To clarify this link, ask the question, "Is this cause-effect link inevitable, or can it be influenced by changing how people think and behave?" For example, "Do morale problems necessarily have to lead to high turnover?" Here the answer is "No", because the existence of morale problems could just as well stimulate the active engagement of your best people (the ones most likely to leave first when things get bad) in turning around the organization.

By contrast, once turnover occurs (especially of good people), the workload of key personnel is likely to increase and, as a result, so will job pressures and stress, and then morale problems. These links are more hard-wired into the vicious cycle.

2. Redirect the causal factor in the weak link by creating a new goal. Ask, "What do we want to accomplish when this causal factor appears?" For example, we might want to establish a highly effective organization led by our best people at all levels.
3. Clarify the corrective actions required to bridge the gap between where you are and the new goal. For example, in order to increase morale and achieve the goal of an effective organization led by our best people at all levels, we might ask these people to reassess the organization's strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities and lead task forces to capitalize on the most critical areas.
4. Implement reinforcing actions that sustain the new momentum. Because managers tend to be pulled by multiple demands, they often take their attention off of a new initiative once it appears to be moving forward. In order to ensure that the change in direction is sustained, it is important to implement actions that reinforce this direction over time. For example, the task force leaders might benefit from individual coaching and team learning meetings that enable them to overcome organizational resistance, deal with surprises, and increase each others'

effectiveness. This should be followed by timely implementation of their recommendations and adjustments in the organizational infrastructure to support new ways of working.

Because vicious cycles are relatively easy to identify, there is a temptation when things go wrong to see them everywhere we look. However, showing extensive vicious cycles tends to confuse people and limit their ability to identify effective interventions. There are two ways to make sense of multiple vicious cycles and focus on high leverage interventions.

The first is to simplify multiple vicious cycles by identifying the 4-7 variables that people believe are most critical to the problem. Next, depict how these variables interact with each other by drawing no more than 2-3 loops. Once you have simplified the number of loops, use the above method for breaking and transforming vicious cycles to develop an intervention strategy.

The second approach is to recognize that vicious cycles tend to disguise and dominate more complex dynamics. These dynamics can often be depicted initially as systems archetypes. Archetypes provide a richer and more comprehensive explanation of what is happening while still being easy to understand. In addition to providing clarity that is both sophisticated and accessible, illuminating systems archetypes enables people to target more specific high leverage interventions.

For example, if a vicious cycle is created when people use a quick fix to reduce a problem symptom, draw the **Fixes That Backfire** archetype and apply interventions that are well understood to break this dynamic and produce a sustainable solution. If one (or more) vicious cycles increase dependence on a quick fix and undermine one's ability to implement a more fundamental long-term solution, show the **Shifting the Burden** archetype and use interventions designed to support this solution.

Other well-understood archetypes where vicious cycles tend to dominate include:

- **Success to the Successful** – one part of the system performs worse and worse over time at the expense of increasing success of another part
- **Accidental Adversaries** – the unintended consequences of actions taken by two potential collaborators undermine each other's effectiveness

- **Competing Goals** – efforts to achieve too many goals for too many different parties reduce one’s ability to accomplish any goal satisfactorily
- **Escalation** – two parties continuously amplify their activities to defeat the other without ever achieving a sustainable advantage

In sum, identifying vicious cycles is often a great place to start in applying systems thinking to chronic, complex problems. At the same time, people can often gain richer insight and even greater leverage by testing for and depicting the systems archetypes that produce these cycles.

### **About the Author:**

David Peter Stroh is a Founder and Principal of Bridgeway Partners and an expert in applying systems thinking to organizational and social change. You can contact him at [dstroh@bridgewaypartners.com](mailto:dstroh@bridgewaypartners.com).